## Comp 555 - BioAlgorithms - Spring 2020

- How well do our methods of mapping spectrums to sequences scale?
- How can we determine a peptide's sequence in the presence of errors or impurities?

Problem set \#4 15 due next TUEsday

## Scaling Up Peptide Sequencing

## Some code from last time

## Some code from last time

```
In [8]: # Now it's time to use this dictionary!
Daltons = {
    'A': 71, 'C': 103, 'D': 115, 'E': 129,
    F': 147, 'G': 57, 'H': 137, 'I': 113,
    'K': 128, 'L': 113, 'M': 131, 'N': 114,
    'P': 97, 'Q': 128, 'R': 156, 'S': 87,
    'T': 101, 'V': 99, 'W': 186, 'Y': 163
}
def TheoreticalSpectrum(peptide):
    # Generate every possible fragment of a peptide
    spectrum = set()
    for fragLength in range(1,len(peptide)+1):
            for start in range(0,len(peptide)-fragLength+1):
                seq = peptide[start:start+fragLength]
                    spectrum.add(sum([Daltons[res] for res in seq]))
    return sorted(spectrum)
insulin = 'MALWMRLLPLLALLALWGPDPAAAFVNQHLCGSHLVEALYLVCGERGFFYTPKTR'
    + 'REAEDLQVGQVELGGGPGAGSLQPLALEGSLQKRGIVEQCCTSICSLYQLENYCN'
insulinSpectrum = TheoreticalSpectrum(insulin)
print(len(insulinSpectrum))
```
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## Reminder where we left off

```
In [24]: def UltimatePossiblePeptide(spectrum, prefix=''):
global peptideList
if (len(prefix) == 0):
    peptideList = []
current = sum([Daltons[res] for res in prefix])
target = max(spectrum)
if (current == target):
    peptideList.append(prefix)
elif (current < target):
    for residue in Daltons.keys():
            extend = prefix + residue
            # test every new suffix created by adding this new reside
            # Note: this includes the residue itself as the length 1 suffix
            suffix = [extend[i:] for i in range(len(extend))]
            for fragment in suffix:
            if (sum([Daltons[res] for res in fragment]) not in spectrum):
                break
            else:
            UltimatePossiblePeptide(spectrum, extend)
test = TheoreticalSpectrum(insulin[0:40])
%time UltimatePossiblePeptide(test)
print(len(test), len(peptideList))
CPU times: user 3min 44s, sys: 18 ms, total: 3min 44s
Wall time: 3min 44s
6348192
```

In [28]: insulin[0:40] in peptideList
Out [28]: True

## Our assumptions have been a little Naïve

In reality, Mass Spectometers don't report the Theoretical Spectrum of a peptide

- Instead they report a measured or Experimental Spectrum
- This spectrum might miss some fragments
- It might also report false fragments
- From Contaminants
- New peptides formed by unintended reactions between fragments
- The result is that some of the masses that appear may be misleading, and some that we want might be missing
- We need to develop algorithms for reporting candidate protein sequences that are robust to noise


## Example experimental spectrum for Tyrocidine B1

| 97, | 99, | 113, | 114, | 128, | 147, | 163, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 186, | 200, | 227, | 241, | 242, | 244, | 260, |
| 261, | 283, | 291, | 333, | 340, | 357, | 388, |
| 389, | 405, | 430, | 447, | 457, | 485, | 487, |
| 543, | 544, | 552, | 575, | 577, | 584, | 659, |
| 671, | 672, | 690, | 691, | 731, | 738, | 770, |
| 804, | 818, | 819, | 835, | 906, | 917, | 932, |
| 982, | 1031, | 1060, | 1095, | 1159, | 1223, | 1322 |

False Masses: present in the experimental spectrum, but not in the theoretical spectrum Missing Masses: present in the theoretical spectrum, but not in the experimental spectrum

## Example experimental spectrum for Tyrocidine B1

| 97, | 99, | 113, |  | 128, | 147, | 163, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 186, | 200, | 227, | 241, | 242, | 244, | 260, |
| 261, | 283, | 291, | 333, | 340, | 357, |  |
|  | 405, | 430, | 447, | 457, |  | 487, |
| 543, | 544, | 552, | 575, | 577, | 584, | 659, |
| 671, | 672, | 690, | 691, | 731, | 738, | 770, |
| 804, | 818, | 819, | 835, | 906, | 917, | 932, |
| 982, | 1031, |  | 1095, | 1159, |  | 1322 |

False Masses: We don't which these are
Missing Masses: And these values don't even appear

## An aside: Faking an Experimental Spectrum

In [26]: \# generate a synthetic experimental spectrum with 10\% Error
import itertools
import random
random. seed(1961)
spectrum = TheoreticalSpectrum(TyrocidineB1)
\# Pick around $\sim 10 \%$ at random to remove
missingMass $=$ random.sample(spectrum[:-1], 6) \# keep largest mass print("Missing Masses = ", missingMass)
\# Add back another $\sim 10 \%$ of false, but actual, peptide masses
falseMass = []
for i in range(5):
fragment $=$ ''.join(random.sample(Daltons.keys(), random.randint(2, len(TyrocidineB1)-2)))
weight = sum([Daltons[residue] for residue in fragment])
falseMass.append(weight)
print("False Masses = ", falseMass)
experimentalSpectrum $=$ sorted(set([mass for mass in spectrum if mass not in missingMass] + falseMass))
Missing Masses $=[917,114,244,405,241,99]$
False Masses $=$ [211, 652, 691, 359, 354]

In [27]: print(experimentalSpectrum)
$[97,113,128,147,163,186,211,227,242,260,261,283,291,333,340,354,357,359,388,389,430,447,485,48$ $7,543,544,552,575,577,584,652,671,672,690,691,738,770,804,818,819,835,932,982,1031,1060,1095,1$ $159,1223,1322]$

## A Golf Tournament Analogy

- After the first couple of rounds of a major golf tournament a cut is made of all golfers who are so far back from the leader that it is deemed they are unlikely to ever finish in the money
- These cut golfers are removed from further consideration
- This choice is heuristic
- It is possible that a player just below the cut could have two exceptional rounds, but that is considered unlikely
- What is the equivalent of a score in our peptide finding problem?
- The number of matching masses in the candidate peptide's Theoretical Spectrum and the Experimental Spectrum
- Normalized score, why?
- len(intersection of candidate and experimental spectrums) / len(union of candidate and experimental spectrums)
- Jaccard Index for sets
- In our peptide golf game a round will be considered a one peptide extension of a active set of player peptides
- We will do cuts on every round, keeping to top $5 \%$ of finishers or the top 5 players, which ever is more
- Why $5 \%$ ? It is arbitrary, but on each round we will extend the current set of players by one of 20 amino acids, thus increasing the number of peptides by a factor of 20 , so reducing by $5 \%$ leaves the poolsize relatively stable.


## An Implementation

In [33]: def LeaderboardFindPeptide(noisySpectrum, cutThreshold=0.05):
ur answer is a list, where the first element is the best score follwed by all players that achieved it. \# Golf Tournament Heuristic
spectrum = set(noisySpectrum)
target $=\max ($ noisySpectrum)
players = [''.join(peptide) for peptide in itertools.product(Daltons.keys(), repeat=2)] round = 1
currentLeader $=[0.0, \quad ']$
while True:
print("\%8d Players in round \%d [\%5.4f]" \% (len(players), round, currentLeader[0])
leaderboard = []
for prefix in players:
testSpectrum = set(TheoreticalSpectrum(prefix))
totalWeight $=\max ($ testSpectrum
score $=$ len(spectrum \& testSpectrum)/float(len(spectrum | testSpectrum))
if (score > currentLeader[0]):
currentLeader = [score, prefix]
elif (score == currentLeader[0])
currentLeader += [prefix]
if (totalWeight < target)
leaderboard.append((score, prefix))
remaining = len(leaderboard)
if (remaining == 0)
print("Done, no sequences can be extended") break
leaderboard.sort(reverse=True)
\# Prune the larger of the top 5\% or the top 5 players
cut $=$ leaderboard[max(min(5, remaining-1), int(remaining*cutThreshold))][0] players $=[p+r$ for $s, p$ in leaderboard if $s>=$ cut for $r$ in Daltons.keys()] round += 1
return currentLeader


Player's remain in contention during a round so long as their total weight doesn't exceed the target. When no player remains in contention, we're finished

spectrum = TheoreticalSpectrum(TyrocidineB1)
experimentalSpectrum $=$ [mass for mass in spectrum if mass not in missingMass] + falseMass \%time winners = LeaderboardFindPeptide(experimentalSpectrum)
print(winners)
print(len(winners) - 1, "Candidate residues with", winners[0], 'matches')
print(TyrocidineB1, TyrocidineB1 in winners)

## Now for a tournament

```
    400 Players in round 1 [0.0000]
1440 Players in round 2 [0.0612]
4960 Players in round 3 [0.1224]
6 4 0 0 ~ P l a y e r s ~ i n ~ r o u n d ~ 4 ~ [ 0 . 1 8 0 0 ] ~
9380 Players in round 5 [0.2800]
10000 Players in round 6 [0.3725]
11820 Players in round 7 [0.4706]
12800 Players in round 8 [0.5962]
12880 Players in round 9 [0.6981]
7520 Players in round 10 [0.8182]
    6 4 0 ~ P l a y e r s ~ i n ~ r o u n d ~ 1 1 ~ [ 0 . 8 1 8 2 ] ~
Done, no sequences can be extended
CPU times: user 5.54 s, sys: 27 ms, total: 5.57 s
Wall time: 5.58 s
[0.8181818181818182, 'YQNFWPFLQV', 'YQNFWPFLKV', 'YQNFWPFIQV', 'YQNFWPFIKV', 'YKNFWPFFLQV', 'YKNFWPFLKV', 'YKNFWPFIQ
V', 'YKNFWPFIKV', 'VQLFPWFNQY', 'VQLFPWFNKY', 'VQIFPWFNQY', 'VQIFPWFNKY', 'VKLFPWFNQY', 'VKLFPWFNKY', 'VKIFPWFNQY',
'VKIFPWFNKY']
16 Candidate residues with 0.8181818181818182 matches
VKLFPWFNQY True
```

Not too slow! And it found our answer!

## Let's try a Nosier Spectrum

```
In [72]: # generate a synthetic experimental spectrum with 60% Error
import random
random.seed(1961)
TyrocidineB1 = "VKLFPWFNQY"
print(TyrocidineB1)
spectrum = TheoreticalSpectrum(TyrocidineB1)
print(len(spectrum), spectrum)
# Pick around ~40% at random to remove
missingMass = random.sample(spectrum[:-1], 20)
print("\nMissing Masses = %s\n" % missingMass)
# Add back another ~10% of false, but actual, peptide masses
falseMass = []
for i in range(5):
    fragment = ''.join(random.sample(Daltons.keys(), random.randint(2,len(TyrocidineB1)-2)))
    weight = sum([Daltons[residue] for residue in fragment])
    falseMass.append(weight)
print("False Masses = ", falseMass)
experimentalSpectrum = sorted(set([mass for mass in spectrum if mass not in missingMass] + falseMass))
print(len(experimentalSpectrum), experimentalSpectrum)
VKLFPWFNQY
51 [97, 99, 113, 114, 128, 147, 163, 186, 227, 241, 242, 244, 260, 261, 283, 291, 333, 340, 357, 388, 389, 405, 430,
447, 485, 487, 543, 544, 552, 575, 577, 584, 671, 672, 690, 691, 738, 770, 804, 818, 819, 835, 917, 932, 982, 1031, 1
060, 1095, 1159, 1223, 1322]
Missing Masses = [917, 114, 244, 405, 241, 99, 982, 487, 430, 584, 804, 552, 147, 227, 97, 672, 770, 1031, 485, 818]
False Masses = [601, 354, 242, 200, 380]
35 [113, 128, 163, 186, 200, 242, 260, 261, 283, 291, 333, 340, 354, 357, 380, 388, 389, 447, 543, 544, 575, 577, 60
1, 671, 690, 691, 738, 819, 835, 932, 1060, 1095, 1159, 1223, 1322]
```


## Find peptides via the leaderboard approach

In [73]: spectrum = TheoreticalSpectrum(TyrocidineB1)
experimentalSpectrum = [mass for mass in spectrum if mass not in missingMass] + falseMass
\%time winners = LeaderboardFindPeptide(experimentalSpectrum)
print(winners)
print(len(winners) - 1, "Candidate residues with", winners[0], 'matches')
print(TyrocidineB1, TyrocidineB1 in winners)

```
    400 Players in round 1 [0.0000]
    960 Players in round 2 [0.0857]
    1300 Players in round 3 [0.1389]
    1740 Players in round 4 [0.2162]
    4280 Players in round 5 [0.2895]
    5 6 0 0 ~ P l a y e r s ~ i n ~ r o u n d ~ 6 ~ [ 0 . 3 3 3 3 ] ~
    5800 Players in round 7 [0.4524]
    5960 Players in round 8 [0.5333]
    6120 Players in round 9 [0.5833]
    2480 Players in round 10 [0.5833]
    240 Players in round 11 [0.5833]
Done, no sequences can be extended
CPU times: user 2.4 s, sys: 10 ms, total: 2.41 s
Wall time: 2.4 s
[0.5833333333333334, 'YQNFWPFLK', 'YQNFWPFLQ', 'YQNFWPFIK', 'YQNFWPFIQ', 'YKNFWPFLK', 'YKNFWPFLQ', 'YKNFWPFIK', 'YKNF
WPFIQ']
8 Candidate residues with 0.5833333333333334 matches
VKLFPWFNQY False
```


## A New Idea

- Maybe we are still not using our spectrum to its fullest extent
- Is there some information about missing masses that we can extract?



## Information in the Mass Differences

- Recall the theoretical spectrum of "PLAY" is [71, 97, 113, 163, 184, 210, 234, 281, 347, 444]
- Suppose we remove masses 71 and 163 , can we get them back?
- Let's generate a table of all pair-wise differences between the observed peaks
- Notice that interesting numbers, $(71,97,113,137,163,234)$ are repeated in the table

|  | 97 | 113 | 184 | 210 | 234 | 281 | 347 | 444 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{9 7}$ | 16 | 87 | 113 | 137 | 184 | 250 | 347 |  |
| 113 |  | 71 | 97 | 121 | 168 | 234 | 331 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ |  |  | 26 | 50 | 97 | 163 | 260 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ |  |  |  | 24 | 71 | 137 | 234 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ |  |  |  |  | 47 | 113 | 210 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 8 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 163 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |

- Why does this work?
- This table of differences is called a Spectral Convolution


## Spectral Convolution

- Spectral Convolution recovers some missing masses
- Given a noisy experimental spectrum
- Compute its spectral convolution
- Add frequent masses above some threshold to the spectrum
- Infer the peptide sequence

In [40]: def SpectralConvolution(spectrum):
delta = \{\}
for i in range(len(spectrum)-1):
for $j$ in range(i+1,len(spectrum)): diff = abs(spectrum[j] - spectrum[i]) delta[diff] = delta.get(diff, 0$)+1$
return delta

## Spiking with Spectral Convolution

```
In [75]: spectrum = TheoreticalSpectrum(TyrocidineB1)
print(sorted(missingMass), len(missingMass))
experimentalSpectrum = sorted(set([mass for mass in spectrum if mass not in missingMass] + falseMass))
specConv = SpectralConvolution(sorted(experimentalSpectrum))
N = 0
for delta, count in sorted(specConv.items()):
    if (count >= 2) and (delta not in experimentalSpectrum) and (delta > min(Daltons.values())):
        print("%3d appears %1d times%s\t" % (delta, count, '*' if delta in missingMass else ' '), end='')
        experimentalSpectrum.append(delta)
    N += 1
    if (N % 4 == 0)
            print()
print()
[97, 99, 114, 147, 227, 241, 244, 405, 430, 485, 487, 552, 584, 672, 770, 804, 818, 917, 982, 1031] 20
```

58 appears 3 times
73 appears 2 times
90 appears 2 times 96 appears 3 times 105 appears 2 times 127 appears 2 times 147 appears 5 times* 156 appears 2 times 188 appears 2 times 188 appears 2 times 03 appears 2 times 220 appears 2 times 27 appears 3 times 252 appears 2 times 284 appears 3 times 310 appears 2 times 334 appears 2 times 404 appears 2 times 430 appears 4 times* 462 appears 2 times 528 appears 2 times 584 appears 2 times 80 ppears 2 times 80 appears 2 times 1031 appears 2 times*

64 appears 2 times 74 appears 2 times 91 appears 2 times 97 appears 8 times* 114 appears 3 times 129 appears 3 times 148 appears 3 times 164 appears 3 times 189 appears 3 times 189 appears 3 times 205 appears 2 times 221 appears 2 times 241 appears 3 times 275 appears 2 times 292 appears 2 times 314 appears 2 times 350 appears 2 times 405 appears 2 times 431 appears 3 times 478 appears 2 times 552 appears 5 times 648 appears 2 times 648 appears 2 times 706 appears 3 times 804 appears 2 times*

67 appears 2 times 79 appears 2 times 93 appears 2 times 98 appears 3 times 115 appears 2 times 133 appears 2 times 154 appears 4 times 170 appears 2 times 194 appears 4 times 1212 ppears 2 times 212 appears 2 times 225 appears 2 times 244 appears 5 times 276 appears 3 times 301 appears 2 times 317 appears 2 times 358 appears 3 times 415 appears 2 times 449 appears 2 times 485 appears 4 times* 558 appears 3 times 649 appears 2 times 707 appears 2 times 707 appears 2 times

72 appears 2 times 39 appears 2 times 94 appears 2 times 99 appears 2 times 120 appears 2 times 146 appears 2 times 155 appears 3 times 187 appears 3 times 195 appears 2 times 218 appears 2 times 226 appears 2 times 226 appears 2 times 247 appears 2 times 282 appears 2 times 302 appears 3 times 331 appears 2 times 381 appears 2 times 429 appears 2 times 455 appears 2 times 488 appears 2 times 578 appears 2 times 672 appears 3 times 769 appears 2 time 982 ppears 2 ti

## Now we try again

In [76]: \%time winners = LeaderboardFindPeptide(experimentalSpectrum)

```
print(winners)
print(len(winners) - 1, "Candidate residues with", winners[0], 'matches')
print(TyrocidineB1, TyrocidineB1 in winners)
```

| 400 Players in round 1 | $[0.0000]$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1600 Players in round 2 | $[0.0234]$ |
| 3600 Players in round 3 | $[0.0469]$ |
| 8220 Players in round 4 | $[0.0781]$ |
| 8460 Players in round 5 | $[0.1172]$ |
| 14260 Players in round 6 | $[0.1641]$ |
| 18880 Players in round 7 | $[0.2031]$ |
| 19140 Players in round 8 | $[0.2656]$ |
| 19240 Players in round 9 | $[0.3101]$ |
| 8560 Players in round 10 | $[0.3561]$ |
| 2160 Players in round 11 | $[0.3561]$ |
| 160 Players in round 12 | $[0.3561]$ |

Done, no sequences can be extended
CPU times: user 8.55 s, sys: 9 ms , total: 8.56 s
Wall time: 8.55 s
[0.3560606060606061, 'YQNFWPFLQV', 'YQNFWPFLKV', 'YQNFWPFIQV', 'YQNFWPFIKV', 'YKNFWPFLQV', 'YKNFWPFLKV', 'YKNFWPFIQ $V^{\prime}, ~ ' Y K N F W P F I K V ', ~ ' V Q L F P W F N Q Y ', ~ ' V Q L F P W F N K Y ', ~ ' V Q I F P W F N Q Y ', ~ ' V Q I F P W F N K Y ', ~ ' V K L F P W F N Q Y ', ~ ' V K L F P W F N K Y ', ~ ' V K I F P W F N Q Y ', ~$
'VKIFPWFNKY']
16 Candidate residues with 0.3560606060606061 matches
VKLFPWFNQY True

## A more Realistic Example

## For long sequences the underlying exponential growth becomes more evident

```
In [78]: Insulin = "MALWMRLLPLLALLALWGPDPAAAFVNQHLCGSHLVEALYLVCGERGFFYTPKTRREAEDLQGSLQPLALEGSLQKRGIVEQCCTSICSLYQLENYCN"
    spectrum = TheoreticalSpectrum(Insulin)
    print(len(spectrum))
    missingMass = random.sample(spectrum[:-1], 50)
    experimentalSpectrum = sorted([mass for mass in spectrum if mass not in missingMass])
    print(len(experimentalSpectrum))
del Daltons['I']
del Daltons['K']
%time winners = LeaderboardFindPeptide(experimentalSpectrum, cutThreshold=0.01)
print(winners)
print(len(winners) - 1, "Candidate residues with", winners[0], 'matches')
print(Insulin, Insulin in winners)
Daltons['I'] = Daltons['L']
Daltons['K'] = Daltons['Q']
```

3407
3357
324 Players in round 1 [0.0000]
3492 Players in round 2 [0.0009]
21528 Players in round 3 [0.0018]
87624 Players in round 4 [0.0030]
216396 Players in round 5 [0.0045]
291816 Players in round 6 [0.0063]
208332 Players in round 7 [0.0083]
74448 Players in round 8 [0.0107]
13986 Players in round 9 [0.0134]
5544 Players in round 10 [0.0164
1764 Players in round 11 [0.0194]
468 Players in round 12 [0.0226]

## A more Realistic Example

## For long sequences the underlying exponential growth becomes more evident

```
108 Players in round 79 [0.3371]
108 Players in round 80 [0.3402]
108 Players in round 81 [0.3428]
108 Players in round 82 [0.3459]
108 Players in round 83 [0.3476]
108 Players in round 84 [0.3507]
108 Players in round 85 [0.3533]
108 Players in round 86 [0.3558]
108 Players in round 87 [0.3578]
126 Players in round 88 [0.3598]
108 Players in round 89 [0.3609]
108 Players in round 90 [0.3626]
108 Players in round 91 [0.3637]
108 Players in round 92 [0.3657]
108 Players in round 93 [0.3687]
108 Players in round 94 [0.3701]
90 Players in round 95 [0.3701]
Done, no sequences can be extended
CPU times: user 3min 25s, sys: 138 ms, total: 3min 25s
Wall time: 3min 25s
[0.3701191944101932, ' FCYLSEVAADPTQRQHCDGNLLPQQGPMCGRYPHLMGDRCTYFVLWEWNRRDNLESRRLLPGSHFRVDEPREAPPEQHCLWMGLVVTVCCWLL
M']
1 \text { Candidate residues with 0.3701191944101932 matches}
MALWMRLLPLLALLALWGPDPAAAFVNQHLCGSHLVEALYLVCGERGFFYTPKTRREAEDLQGSLQPLALEGSLQKRGIVEQCCTSICSLYQLENYCN False
```


## Why things blow up

1. The search space got large fast
2. There must be a LOT of ties
3. Algorithm tends to keep all ( $N-k+1$ ) subpeptides as $k$ approaches the sequence's size ( $k$ is related to our round)
4. The $I / L$ and $K / Q$ ambiguities lead to exponential number of ties, hence the "hack"
5. Reversed sequences are doubling our leaderboard size

There are bandaids to fix problems 3 and 4, but the problem remains

## Other methods for assembling peptide sequences



## AVGELTK

## Peptide Identification Problem

Goal: Find a peptide from a database that best matchs the experimental spectrum.
Input:

- S: experimental spectrum
- database of peptides
- $\Delta$ : set of possible ion types
- m: parent mass


## Output:

- A peptide of mass $m$ from the database whose theoretical spectrum best matches the experimental spectrum $S$


## Mass Spec Database Searches

How do you get a database?

1. Compute theoretical spectrums for all peptides from length $N$ to $M$
2. More commonly, store theoretical spectrums for known peptide sequences

- Database searches are very effective in identfying known or closely related proteins.
- Experimental spectrums are compared with spectra of database peptides to find the best fit (ex. SEQUEST, Yates et al., 1995)
- But reliable algorithms for identification of new proteins is a more difficult problem.


## Essence of the Database Search

- We need a notion of spectral similarity that correlates well with the sequence similarity.
- If peptides are a few mutations/modifications apart, the spectral similarity between their spectra should be high.
- Simplest measure: Shared Peak Counts (SPC)
- Very similar to the scoring function used in our De novo approach.


## SPC Diminishes Quickly

## Comparing ‘PRTEIN’ to ‘PRTEYN' (1 difference) and 'PWTEYN' (2 differences)

In [80]: print(TheoreticalSpectrum('PRTEIN')) print(TheoreticalSpectrum('PRTEYN'))
print(TheoreticalSpectrum('PWTEYN'))
print(set(TheoreticalSpectrum('PRTEIN')) \& set(TheoreticalSpectrum('PRTEYN'))) print(set(TheoreticalSpectrum('PRTEIN')) \& set(TheoreticalSpectrum('PWTEYN')))

97, 101, 113, 114, 129, 156, 227, 230, 242, 253, 257, 343, 354, 356, 386, 457, 483, 499, 596, 613, 710] $[97,101,114,129,156,163,230,253,257,277,292,354,386,393,406,483,507,549,646,663,760]$ $[97,101,114,129,163,186,230,277,283,287,292,384,393,406,416,507,513,579,676,693,790]$ $\{129,386,257,97,354,483,101,230,114,156,253\}$ \{129, 97, 101, 230, 114\}


## Spectral Convolution to the Rescue!

Difference matrix of spectrums. The elements with multiplicity $>2$ are shown in colored boxes. The black outlined boxes enclose elements with multiplicity $=2$. The SPC only accounts for the zero entries shown as red circles.









PRTEYN


| 宸 | 292 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\stackrel{\text { a }}{2}$ | 277 |



## Summary

## How do protein structures actually get resolved?

Database searches for protein Mass Specs is generally where most techniques begin. This works paricularly well when it agrees with an already known or very similar protein. However, one can also look for tale-tale fingerprints of peaks from known sub-peptides. For example it is fairly easy to build a library of all $20^{6}=64$ million peptides of length 6 and look for eaches 15 associated peaks. Once several hexapeptides are found you can assemble from there. There are also larger subpeptides 10 to 20 in length that appear frequently.


Another common method is to, rather than brake a protein into every possible subpeptide, use an enzyme to cleave it between particular residue pairs. For example, Trypsin will cleave peptide chains immediately after the amino acids lysine and arginine, except when either is followed by proline. This leads to several large fragments, whose mass can be accurately measured using a Mass Spec. This technique is called Peptide Mass Fingerprinting (PMF).

