Transistors and Logic



1) The "Digital" contract
2) Encoding bits with voltages
3) Processing bits with transistors
4) Gates
5) Large fanout gates
6) Truth-table SOP Realizations
7) Multiplexer Logic

Where Are We?

Things we know so far -



1) Computers process information
2) Information is measured in bits
3) Data can be represented as groups of bits
4) Computer instructions are encoded as bits
5) Computer instructions are just data

6) But, we don't want to deal with bits…
   So we invent ASSEMBLY Language
7) Even that is too low-level…
   So we invent COMPILERs to generate assembly code and assemblers to generate the final bits …



But, how are all these bits PROCESSED?

A Substrate for Computation

We can build devices for processing and representing bits using almost any physical phenomenon

Wait! Those last ones might have potential...



~~neutrino flux~~
~~trained elephants~~
~~engraved stone tablets~~
~~orbits of planets~~
~~sequences of amino acids~~
~~polarization of a photon~~





Using Electromagnetic Phenomena

Some EM things we could encode bits with:

- voltages
- currents
- phase
- frequency

With today's technologies voltages are most often used.

Voltage pros:

- easy generation, detection
- voltage changes can be very fast
- lots of engineering knowledge

Voltage cons:

- easily affected by environment
- DC connectivity required?
- R & C effects slow things down



Representing Information with Voltage

Representation of each point (x, y) on a B&W Picture:

0 volts:	BLACK
1 volt:	WHITE
0.37 volts:	37% Gray
etc.	



Representation of a picture:

Scan points in some prescribed raster order… generate voltage waveform

How much information at each point?

Information Processing = Computation

First, let's introduce some processing blocks:

v → Copy → v

v → INV → 1-v



Let's build a system!

input



(Reality)



output

Why Did Our System Fail?

Why doesn't reality match theory?

1. COPY Operator doesn't work right
2. INVERSION Operator doesn't work right
3. Theory is imperfect
4. Reality is imperfect
5. Our system architecture stinks

ANSWER: all of the above!

Noise and inaccuracy are inevitable; we can't reliably reproduce infinite information-- we must design our system to tolerate some amount of error if it is to process information reliably.

The Key to System Design

A SYSTEM is a structure that is "*guaranteed*" to exhibit a specified behavior, assuming all of its components obey their specified behaviors.



How is this achieved? Through Contracts

Every system component will have clear obligations and responsibilities. If these are maintained we have every right to expect the system to behave as planned. If contracts are violated all bets are off.

The Digital Panacea …

Why DIGITAL?

… because it keeps the contracts SIMPLE!

It's the price we pay for this robustness



All the information that we transfer between components is only 1 crummy bit!

But, in exchange, we get reliable, modular, and reproducible systems.

The Digital Abstraction



Keep in mind, the world is not digital, we engineer it to behave that way.
We must use real physical phenomena to implement digital designs!

A Digital Processing Element

Static Discipline

- A *combinational device* is a circuit element that has
  - one or more digital *inputs*
  - one or more digital *outputs*
  - a *functional specification* that details the value of each output for every possible combination of valid input values
  - a *timing specification* consisting (at minimum) of an upper bound propagation delay, t_{pd}, on the required time for the device to compute the specified valid output values from an arbitrary set of stable, valid input values



A Combinational Digital System

- A *system* of interconnected elements is *combinational* if
  - each circuit element is combinational
  - every input is connected to exactly one output or directly to some source of 0's or 1's
  - the circuit contains no directed cycles

No feedback (yet!)

- But, in order to realize digital processing elements we have one more requirement!

A definition for a VALID input and a VALID output!`

Valid = Noise Margins

- Key idea:
  Don't allow "0" to be mistaken for a "1" or vice versa
- Use the same "uniform bit-representation convention", for *every* component in our digital system
- To implement devices with high reliability, we outlaw "close calls" via a representation convention which forbids a range of voltages between "0" and "1".
- Ensure the valid input range is more tolerant (larger) than the valid output range

Our definition of valid does not preclude inputs and outputs from passing through invalid values. In fact, they must, but only during transitions. Our specifications allow for this (i.e. outputs are specified sometime (T_{pd}) after after inputs become valid).



Digital Processing Elements

Some digital processing elements occur so frequently that we give them special names and symbols











Q: What is the point of a buffer? Doesn't a wire do the same thing?
A: A buffer restores marginal digital signals, because the output is as good or "better" than the input (i.e. it solves that bad image problem from slide 7).

Digital Processing Elements

Some digital processing elements occur so frequently that we give them special names and symbols











In honor of the richest man in the world we will henceforth refer to digital processing elements as "GATES"

From What Do We Make Digital Devices?

- A *controllable* switch is the common link of all computing technologies
- How do you control voltages with a switch?
- By creating and opening paths between higher and lower potentials



N-Channel Field-Effect Transistors (NFETs)



When the gate voltage is high, the switch closes. Good at pulling things "low".

Operating regions:

cut-off:

$V_{GS} < V_{TH}$ (0.8V)

linear:

$V_{GS} \geq V_{TH}$

$V_{DS} < V_{Dsat}$ ($V_{GS} - V_{TH}$)

saturation:

$V_{GS} \geq V_{TH}$

$V_{DS} \geq V_{Dsat}$





P-Channel Field-Effect Transistors (PFETs)



When the gate voltage is low, the switch closes. Good at pulling things "high".

Operating regions:

cut-off:

$V_{GS} > V_{TH}$ ($-0.8V$)

linear:

$V_{GS} \leq V_{TH}$

$V_{DS} > V_{Dsat}$ ($V_{GS} - V_{TH}$)

saturation:

$V_{GS} \leq V_{TH}$

$V_{DS} \leq V_{Dsat}$





Finally… Using Transistors to Build Logic Gates!



Logic Gate recipe:

We'll use PFETs here



pullup: make this connection when V_{IN} is near 0 so that $V_{OUT} = V_{DD}$

pulldown: make this connection when V_{IN} is near V_{DD} so that $V_{OUT} = 0$

and, NFETs here

CMOS Inverter



"Digital" Transistor Abstraction

- Transistors are extremely flexible, but fickled analog devices.
- If we limit how we use them, (i.e. adopt conventions), they can act as robust digital devices.
- Which we can treat as a simple switch abstraction.



Complementary Pullups and Pulldowns

This is what the "C" in CMOS stands for!

We design components with *complementary* pullup and pulldown logic (i.e., the pulldown should be "on" when the pullup is "off" and vice versa).

pullup	pulldown	F(A_1,…,An)
on	off	driven "1"
off	on	driven "0"
on	on	driven "X"
off	off	no connection

Since there's plenty of capacitance on output nodes, so when the output becomes disconnected it tends to "remember" its previous voltage– at least for a while. The "memory" is the load capacitor's charge. Leakage currents will cause eventual decay of the charge (that's why DRAMs need to be refreshed!).

CMOS Complements



conducts when A is high

conducts when A is low

Series N connections:





Parallel P connections:

conducts when A is high and B is high: A·B

conducts when A is low or B is low: $\overline{A}+\overline{B} = \overline{A \cdot B}$

Parallel N connections:





Series P connections:

conducts when A is high or B is high: A+B

conducts when A is low and B is low: $\overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} = \overline{A+B}$

A Two Input Logic Gate



What function does this gate compute?

A	B	C
0	0	
0	1	
1	0	
1	1	

Here's Another…



What function does this gate compute?

A	B	C
0	0	
0	1	
1	0	
1	1	

General CMOS Gate Recipe

Step 1. Figure out pulldown network that does what you want (i.e the set of conditions where the output is '0')

e.g., $F = \overline{A*(B+C)}$



Step 2. Walk the hierarchy replacing nfets with pfets, series subnets with parallel subnets, and parallel subnets with series subnets



Step 3. Combine pfet pullup network from Step 2 with nfet pulldown network from Step 1 to form fully -complementary CMOS gate.



But isn't it hard to wire it all up?

One Last Exercise

Lets construct a gate to compute:

$F = \overline{A+BC}$ = NOT(OR(A,AND(B,C)))

Step 1: The pull-down network

Step 2: The complementary pull-up network



One Last Exercise

Lets construct a gate to compute:

$F = \overline{A+BC}$ = NOT(OR(A,AND(B,C)))

A	B	C	F
0	0	0	**1**
0	0	1	**1**
0	1	0	**1**
0	1	1	**0**
1	0	0	**0**
1	0	1	**0**
1	1	0	**0**
1	1	1	**0**

Step 1: The pull-down network

Step 2: The complementary pull-up network

Step 3: Combine and Verify



OBSERVATION: CMOS gates tend to be inverting! Precisely, one or more "0" inputs are necessary to generate a "1" output, and one or more "1" inputs are necessary to generate a "0" output. Why?

Now We're Ready to Design Stuff!

We need to start somewhere –
usually with a functional specification



If you are like most pragmatists you'd rather be given a table or formula than solve a puzzle to understand a function. The fact is, **any combinational function can be expressed as a table**.

"**Truth tables**" are a concise description of the combinational system's function, where an output is specified for *every* input combination.

Conversely, any computation performed by a combinational system can expressed as a truth table.

Truth Table

C	B	A	Y
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

Where Do We Start?

We want to build a computer!



What do we do?

Thus far, we have a few gates?

(AND, OR, which we haven't made yet. An Inverter, and those funky CMOS things that we have made.)

We need

... a systematic approach for designing logic

A Slight Diversion

Are we sure we have all the gates we need?

How many two-input gates are there?

AND		OR		NAND		NOR	
AB	Y	AB	Y	AB	Y	AB	Y
00	0	00	0	00	1	00	1
01	0	01	1	01	1	01	0
10	0	10	1	10	1	10	0
11	1	11	1	11	0	11	0

Hum… all of these have 2-inputs (no surprise)

… 2 inputs have 4 permutations, giving 2^2 output cases

How many permutations of 4 outputs are there? 2^4

Generalizing, there are 2^{2^N}, N-input gates!

Show me the Gates!

There are only 16 possible 2-input gates

... some we know already, others are just silly

INPUT AB	ZERO	AND	A > B	A	B > A	B	XOR	OR	NOR	XNOR	NOT 'B'	A <= B	NOT 'A'	B <= A	NAND	ONE
00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
01	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
10	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
11	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1

Do we need all of these gates?

Nope. We can describe them all using only AND, OR, and NOT.

But, we can compose gates using others

B>A

AB	Y
00	0
01	1
10	0
11	0

XOR

AB	Y
00	0
01	1
10	1
11	0







The TRICK is to OR the ANDs of all input combinations that generate an output of "1". You don't need the OR gate if only one input combination results in a "1".

You need Inverters to handle input combinations involving "0"s, ANDs, and ORs.

How many different gates do we really need?

We can always do it with 3 different types of gates, and sometimes with 2, but, can we use fewer?

One Will Do!

NANDs and NORs are UNIVERSAL

A UNIVERSAL gate is one that can be used to implement *ANY* COMBINATIONAL FUNCTION. There are many UNIVERSAL gates, but not all gates are UNIVERSAL.

Q: What is a COMBINATIONAL FUNCTION?
A: Any function that can be written as a truth table.



Ah!, but what if we want more than 2-inputs

Stupid Gate Tricks

Suppose we have some 2-input XOR gates:



t_{pd} = 1 nS

A	B	C
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

And we want an N-input XOR:



output = 1
iff number of 1s
input is ODD
("PARITY")

t_{pd} = N nS -- WORST CASE.

Can we compute N-input XOR faster?

I Think That I Shall Never See

a Gate Lovely as a ...



N-input TREE has O(log N) levels...

Signal propagation takes O(log N) gate delays.

EVERY N-Input Combinational function be implemented using only 2-input gates? But, its handy to have gates with more than 2-inputs if needed.

Our first Design Approach

Truth Table

C	B	A	Y
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

1) Write out our functional spec as a truth table

2) Write down a Boolean expression for every '1' in the output

$$Y = \overline{C}\overline{B}A + \overline{C}B\overline{A} + C\overline{B}\overline{A} + CBA$$

An "ideal" gate might have any number of inputs, and might not be directly realizable as a single CMOS gate.

3) Wire up the ideal gates, replace them with equivalent realizable gates, call it a day, and go home!

This approach will always give us logic expressions in a particular form:

SUM-OF-PRODUCTS

-it's systematic!
-it works!
-it's easy!
-we get to go home!

Straightforward Synthesis

We can implement

SUM-OF-PRODUCTS

with just three levels of logic.

INVERTERS/AND/OR



Other Useful Gate Structures

$\overline{AB} = \bar{A} + \bar{B}$

"Pushing Bubbles"

NAND-NAND



$xyz = \bar{x} + \bar{y} + \bar{z}$

DeMorgan's Laws

$\bar{A}\bar{B} = \overline{A+B}$

NOR-NOR



$\overline{x + y} = \bar{x}\bar{y}$

More Useful Gate Structures

AOI (AND-OR-INVERT)





AOI and OAI structures can be realized as a single CMOS gate. However, their function is equivalent to 3 levels of logic.

OAI (OR-AND-INVERT)







An OAI's DeMorgan equivalent is usually easier to think about.

An Interesting 3-Input Gate

Based on C, select the A or B input to be copied to the output Y.



Truth Table

C	B	A	Y
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

2-input Multiplexer



schematic



Gate symbol

MUX Compositions and Shortcuts

A 4-input Mux (implemented as a tree)





A 4-bit wide 2-input Mux





Mux Function Synthesis

Consider implementation of some arbitrary Combinational function, F(A,B,C)

... using a MULTIPLEXER as the only circuit element:

A	B	C	Y
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

Mux Logic: An example of "structured" logic synthesis



Small Improvements

We can apply certain optimizations to MUX Function synthesis

Desired Logic Function

A	B	C	Y
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1



- Largely by inspection or exhaustive search

- N-input gate with N-1 input MUX & one inverter

Next Time

Binary Arithmetic

Circuits that:

ADD

SUBTRACT

SHIFT